



Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infection of Zebrafish Involves both Host and Pathogen Determinants

Citation

Clatworthy, Anne E., Jenny See-Wai Lee, Mark Leibman, Zachary Kostun, Alan J. Davidson, and Deborah T. Hung. 2009. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection of zebrafish involves both host and pathogen determinants. *Infection and Immunity* 77, no. 4: 1293-1303.

Published Version

doi:10.1128/IAI.01181-08

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:13051801>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

***Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection of zebrafish involves both host and pathogen determinants**

Anne E. Clatworthy^{1,2,3}, Jenny See-Wai Lee^{1,2,3}, Mark Leibman^{1,2,3}, Zachary Kostun^{4,5},
Alan J. Davidson^{4,5} and Deborah T. Hung^{1,2,3,5,*}

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Center for Computational and Integrative
Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, 185 Cambridge St., Boston, MA 02114;

²Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston,
MA 02115; ³Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, 7 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, MA
02142; ⁴Center for Regenerative Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, 185
Cambridge St., Boston, MA 02114; ⁵Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School,
Boston, MA 02114

Running title: *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in zebrafish embryos

*Department of Molecular Biology and Center for Computational and Integrative
Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, 185 Cambridge St., Boston, MA 02114; P:
617-643-3117; F: 617-726-6893; Email: hung@molbio.mgh.harvard.edu

1 **Abstract**

2 Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) have a number of strengths as a host model for infection,
3 including genetic tractability, a vertebrate immune system similar to mammals, ease and
4 scale of laboratory handling allowing analysis with reasonable throughput, and
5 transparency, which facilitates visualization of the infection. With these advantages in
6 mind, we examined whether zebrafish could be used to study *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
7 pathogenesis and found that infection of zebrafish embryos with live *P. aeruginosa*
8 (PA14 or PAO1) by microinjection results in embryonic death, unlike *E. coli* or heat-
9 killed *P. aeruginosa*, which have no effect. Similar to studies in mice, *P. aeruginosa*
10 mutants deficient in type three secretion (*pscD*) or quorum sensing (*lasR* and *mvfR*) are
11 attenuated in zebrafish embryos infected 50 hours post-fertilization (hpf), a
12 developmental stage where both macrophages and neutrophils are present. In contrast,
13 embryos infected 28 hpf, when only macrophages are initially present, succumb to lethal
14 challenge with far fewer *P. aeruginosa* cells than embryos infected 50 hpf, are
15 susceptible to infection with *lasR* and *pscD* deletion mutants, but are moderately resistant
16 to infection with an *mvfR* mutant. Finally, we show that we can control the outcome of
17 infection through the use of morpholinos, which allowed us to shift immune
18 cell numbers, or small molecules (antibiotics), which rescue embryos from lethal
19 challenge. Thus, zebrafish are a novel host model that is well suited for studying the
20 interactions among individual pathogenic functions of *P. aeruginosa*, the role of
21 individual components of host immune defense, and small molecule modulators of
22 infection.

1 **Introduction**

2 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, one of the most common causes of nosocomial infections in
3 the United States, typically infects injured, burned, and immunocompromised patients
4 and is the primary cause of mortality among cystic fibrosis patients. It is a ubiquitous,
5 Gram-negative bacterium adapted to a variety of niches including water, soil, and in
6 association with other eukaryotic organisms. A number of evolutionarily divergent model
7 hosts have been used to examine *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis including amoebae, plants,
8 nematodes, insects, and rodents (25, 36, 37). While much has been learned about *P.*
9 *aeruginosa* pathogenesis from these models, each model has different strengths and
10 weaknesses. Invertebrate model hosts such as *Caenorhabditis elegans* offer greater
11 genetic tractability than rodent models. Moreover, the size and life cycle of organisms
12 like *C. elegans* enable experiments such as comprehensive genetic screens that require
13 large numbers of animals, in contrast to rodent models where such studies are often
14 simply unfeasible due to cost and space requirements. The drawback to modeling human
15 infections in invertebrate hosts is the dissimilarity between vertebrate and invertebrate
16 immune responses. Invertebrate model hosts like *Drosophila melanogaster* and *C.*
17 *elegans* do not possess adaptive immunity, a true complement system, or the immune cell
18 multi-lineage complexity that is characteristic of humans, though *D. melanogaster* does
19 possess phagocytic cells. Thus, a model host that combines the advantages of invertebrate
20 and rodent models would be extremely powerful in efforts to further understand *P.*
21 *aeruginosa* pathogenesis.

22 Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) have a number of advantages as a model host and thus
23 have been used to study infections with a number of pathogens including *Mycobacterium*

1 *marinum*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Edwardsiella tarda*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and
2 *Streptococcus iniae* (12, 29, 34, 35, 52). Zebrafish are genetically tractable, both forward
3 and reverse classical genetic approaches are possible in this organism (50) and
4 sophisticated techniques using morpholinos and small molecules to precisely control
5 spatiotemporal gene regulation in zebrafish have recently been developed (14, 44). In
6 addition, chemical genetic approaches are feasible; chemical screens for small molecules
7 that modulate a number of phenotypes including cell cycle progression and nervous and
8 cardiovascular system development have been successfully performed in zebrafish (33,
9 47). The capacity to conduct large-scale classical and chemical genetic studies in
10 zebrafish is possible due to their fecundity and small size; embryos/larvae may be kept in
11 96-well format during the first 5-6 days of development and a single adult pair of fish can
12 generate ~200 embryos from a single mating. Furthermore, zebrafish embryos are
13 optically transparent, which facilitates the visualization of development or infection
14 progression in real-time. Finally, zebrafish are jawed vertebrates and thus possess both
15 innate and adaptive immunity similar to mammals.

16 Zebrafish immunity resembles mammalian immunity in a number of ways,
17 including the expression of Toll-like receptors, complement proteins, pro-inflammatory
18 cytokines, and acute phase response proteins (5, 10, 23, 26). On a cellular level, zebrafish
19 innate immunity includes a myeloid compartment comprised of both
20 monocyte/macrophage and granulocytic lineages (3). Primitive macrophages have been
21 shown to be capable of engulfing invading microorganisms when inoculated 28-30 hours
22 post fertilization (hpf) (17, 52), while primitive neutrophil differentiation lags slightly
23 behind with functional neutrophils appearing by 32-48 hpf (22, 34). In contrast, while T

1 cell progenitors do begin to populate the thymus by 3 dpf (days post-fertilization),
2 functional maturity of lymphoid cells of the adaptive immune response has not been
3 noted prior to 4-6 weeks post fertilization (50).

4 *P. aeruginosa* utilizes a number of different virulence mechanisms to combat host
5 defense including a type three secretion system (T3SS) and the production of multiple
6 exotoxins including elastase, exotoxin A, and phospholipase C (8, 54). A number of
7 evolutionarily divergent host species, including *Dictyostelium discoideum*, *Arabidopsis*
8 *thaliana*, *C. elegans*, *D. melanogaster*, *Galleria mellonella*, and rodents have been used
9 to identify and define the role of these virulence determinants in *P. aeruginosa*
10 pathogenesis (15, 25, 27, 36, 37). Remarkably, these studies have demonstrated that a
11 number of virulence determinants are required for infection across these evolutionarily
12 divergent host species. For example, MvfR, a transcriptional regulator of quorum sensing
13 and virulence, was originally isolated and characterized using lettuce leaf and
14 *Arabidopsis thaliana* host models (9, 38), but is also required in *C. elegans* and mouse
15 burn models of *P. aeruginosa* infection (38, 48). Likewise, the quorum-sensing
16 transcriptional regulator LasR, involved in the expression of virulence factors including
17 elastase, phospholipase C, and exotoxin A, is required for full virulence in both murine
18 (32, 42) and *C. elegans* (49) models. In contrast, T3S plays an important role in rodent
19 and insect models (18, 27, 46), but not in *A. thaliana* and *C. elegans* host models (27).
20 Thus, a model host that recapitulates the features of the mammalian (and ideally human)
21 response to *P. aeruginosa* infection yet possesses advantages offered by invertebrate
22 models would be invaluable.

1 Given the similarities between the zebrafish and mammalian immune response
 2 and the advantages offered by zebrafish as a model organism, we investigated whether
 3 we could establish a *P. aeruginosa* infection model in zebrafish embryos. Here we
 4 demonstrate that introduction of *P. aeruginosa* into the circulation of zebrafish embryos
 5 establishes a lethal infection that requires quorum sensing and T3S for full virulence in
 6 later stage embryos. We find that ciprofloxacin and imipenem can rescue embryos from
 7 lethal challenge. Finally we examine the contribution of both host and pathogen
 8 determinants important for the progression to lethality and place these observations in the
 9 context of other *P. aeruginosa* infection models.

1 **Materials and Methods**

2 *Infection conditions*

3 Zebrafish embryos derived from adults of the AB line were kept at 29°C and staged 28
4 hpf (or 50 hpf) according to previously described developmental criteria (19). Embryos
5 were dechorionated manually or with pronase and then anesthetized with 0.015% ethyl 3-
6 aminobenzoate methanesulfonate prior to injection. Bacterial cells (in a volume of 1 or 2
7 nL) were microinjected into the yolk circulation valley, as visually ascertained under the
8 stereomicroscope. The inoculum size was determined by injecting an equal volume of
9 bacterial cells into PBS in duplicate before and after injections for each needle and
10 enumerating CFU on LB agar; the inoculum size stated throughout is the mean number of
11 cells determined from these dilutions with the standard deviation on average ~15% of the
12 inoculum size. Injected embryos were returned to embryo medium (E3) (30), incubated at
13 29°C, and monitored for survival at regular intervals under a stereomicroscope. Very
14 small numbers of bacteria (<140 cells/ mL), that had likely leaked from the micropipette
15 during injection and been transferred along with the embryo, were determined to be
16 present in the E3 media during monitoring. The scoring of living from dead embryos was
17 ascertained by the presence of a heartbeat and circulating blood under a
18 stereomicroscope. For antibiotic experiments, embryos were placed directly into E3 with
19 or without ciprofloxacin and/or imipenem following infection by microinjection. All
20 zebrafish experiments were performed with the approval of Massachusetts General
21 Hospital's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

22

23 *Imaging infection*

1 Microscopy was performed with either a Zeiss SteREO Discovery.V12 stereomicroscope
2 (Fig. 2) or a Zeiss Axio Imager.Z1 microscope equipped with differential interference
3 contrast (DIC) and fluorescence optics using a 100X Plan-Apochromat oil immersion
4 objective (N.A= 1.4). Living embryos were anesthetized in E3 media as described above
5 prior to image acquisition using the stereomicroscope. Living embryos imaged using the
6 Axio ImagerZ.1 were anesthetized as described above and mounted on glass depression
7 slides in 1% low-melting agarose. Myeloperoxidase activity was detected using
8 fluorescent tyramide (Cy3-TSA amplification reagent, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) as a
9 substrate for endogenous peroxidase activity as previously described (34) in embryos that
10 had been fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C prior to staining. All images
11 were collected using Axiovision (release 4.5) software.

13 *Bacterial strains and growth conditions*

14 Bacterial cells for microinjection were grown by streaking glycerol stocks to LB/agar
15 plates (+/- antibiotics), incubated overnight at 37°C, and scraped into PBS the following
16 day. The cell concentration was estimated by measuring the OD₆₀₀ of the resulting
17 suspension, which was then diluted to a stock concentration of either 1250, 2500, 3000,
18 4000, or 5000 cells/nl. Cells were passed through a 30 gauge needle 12 times to reduce
19 clumping before loading the cells into a micropipette. The PA14, PA14 Δ lasR,
20 PA14 Δ pscD (27), and PA14/GFP strains were obtained from Frederick Ausubel
21 (Massachusetts General Hospital). PA14/GFP carries *gfp* encoded on pSMC21 (11).
22 PA14 Δ lasR is a clean deletion of *lasR* that was complemented by transforming
23 PA14 Δ lasR with a plasmid expressing the *lasR* gene in pUCP19 (43). The *pscD* deletion

was complemented by allelic exchange using plasmid pEX18*pscD1* (27). The PA14*ΔmyfR* and *myfR* complemented strains were obtained from Laurence Rahme (Massachusetts General Hospital, (9)), and PAO1 was obtained from Stephen Lory (Harvard Medical School).

Bacterial enumeration from infected embryos

Individual embryos were euthanized with ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate (0.4 mg/mL), washed twice in E3, and disrupted in 0.5 mL E3 using a 1 mL dounce homogenizer (Wheaton, 0.0035" - 0.0055") for 2 minutes. Homogenizers were then rinsed with an additional 0.5 mL E3, which was also added to the homogenate. Samples were supplemented with 200 μ L PBS and 130 μ L 1% Triton X-100, vortexed for 1 minute prior to bath sonication (Branson 1510) for 10 minutes, and then plated on LB medium supplemented with 15 μ g/mL irgasan.

Zebrafish morphant generation

The *pu.1* and *gata1* morpholino oligos, previously described (16, 40), were obtained, along with the Gene Tools Standard Control morpholino, from Genetools (Philomath, OR). Morpholinos were injected into the embryo at the 1-2 cell stage at the following concentrations: *gata1*, 0.2mM; *pu.1*, 0.4 mM; and Standard Control, 0.4 mM. Elimination and expansion of the myeloid lineage in Pu.1 and Gata1 morphants, respectively, was confirmed by *in situ* hybridization for L-plastin expression as previously described (16, 40).

1 SYBR-Green Real-time quantitative (q)RT-PCR analysis

2 Embryos were infected with PA14, the *lasR* deletion mutant, heat-killed PA14, or DH5 α
3 cells or with PBS (sham-infected) and RNA was isolated from pools of 10 infected and
4 PBS sham-infected, homogenized embryos in each condition at each time point using
5 Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). The resulting RNA was used to template first strand cDNA
6 synthesis reactions using oligo dT and Superscript III reverse transcriptase (both from
7 Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers were designed using
8 Primer 3 (41). The sequences of the gene specific *TNF α* (GenBank Accession No:
9 NM_212859) and *IL-1 β* (GenBank Accession No: AY340959) and control (*EF1a*;
10 GenBank Accession No: L47669) primers for detection are as follows: TNFaF: 5'-
11 TGCTTCACGCTCCATAAGACC-3', TNFaR: 5'-CAAGCCACCTGAAGAAAAGG-3',
12 IL1bF: 5'-TGGACTTCGCAGCACAAAATG-3', IL1bR: 5'-
13 CGTTCACTTCACGCTCTTGGATG-3', EF1aF: 5'-AGAAGGAAGCCGCTGAGATG-
14 3', EF1aR: 5'-TGTCCAGGGGCATCAATAAT-3'; one primer from each primer pair
15 overlapped an exon-exon junction. qRT-PCR reactions were carried out in a total volume
16 of 25 μ l with cDNA corresponding to 100 ng total RNA and 50 nM gene specific or
17 control primers. Transcript abundance from each RNA preparation was assayed in
18 triplicate using an ABI 7300 real-time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). Resulting Ct
19 values from qRT-PCR assays were analyzed by the relative standard curve method and
20 normalized to *ef1a* expression. The fold change in *TNF α* and *IL-1 β* transcript levels
21 relative to PBS-sham infected embryos is the mean and SEM determined from at least
22 three RNA preparations from each experimental condition that were each assayed in
23 triplicate.

1

2 *Statistical Analysis*

3 Both survival curve and cytokine expression data were graphed and statistically analyzed
4 using GraphPad Prism 4 software. Statistical differences in survival curves were analyzed
5 using the logrank test. Statistically significant differences in TNF α and IL-1 β expression
6 between PA14 and either the *lasR* mutant, heat-killed-PA14 or DH5 α infected embryos
7 were determined from 3-5 biologic replicates by one-way ANOVA followed by
8 Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

1 **Results**

2 *Inoculation of embryos with *P. aeruginosa* is lethal*

3 In an effort to develop a *P. aeruginosa* infection model in a genetically tractable
4 vertebrate model host, we investigated whether *P. aeruginosa* could lethally infect
5 zebrafish embryos at 28 hpf, a developmental stage where primitive macrophages are
6 present and able to engulf invading microorganisms post infection (17, 52). Initial
7 experiments to infect zebrafish with *P. aeruginosa* by immersing dechorionated embryos
8 in a suspension of *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 failed. Lethality required high
9 concentrations of PA14 (1×10^9 colony forming units/mL (CFU/mL)) and was
10 independent of the viability of the bacterial cells (data not shown), suggesting that the
11 toxicity observed was due to a heat-stable component of the bacteria rather than from an
12 active infection. While lower concentrations of *P. aeruginosa* (10^4 CFU/ml) have been
13 shown to colonize the intestinal tract of 3 day post fertilization zebrafish larvae under
14 similar static immersion conditions (39), we found that this concentration had no effect
15 on embryo viability.

16 We next explored introducing PA14 into the zebrafish embryo bloodstream by
17 microinjection into the yolk circulation valley (Fig. 1A), an area where venous blood
18 returning from the trunk and tail is not contained within a vessel but instead flows freely
19 over the lateral sides of the yolk before returning to the heart. Microinjection at 28 hpf of
20 at least 1700 PA14 bacterial cells resulted in the death of all infected embryos by ~48
21 hours post infection (hpi) (Fig. 1B) while microinjection of equal or greater numbers of
22 heat-killed PA14 or *Escherichia coli* strain DH5 α resulted in complete survival of
23 infected embryos (Fig. 1B). PA14 killing was dose dependent with microinjection of

fewer than 1500 cells resulting in incomplete lethality (data not shown). Finally, we examined whether *P. aeruginosa* lethality was specific to the PA14 strain, given that differences in virulence among common *P. aeruginosa* laboratory strains have been observed among different model hosts (37, 48), and found that the PAO1 strain was equally virulent to embryos infected 28 hpf (data not shown).

We next examined the ability of PA14 to cause a lethal infection in zebrafish embryos at a later developmental stage when both macrophages and neutrophils are present and functional (50 hpf). We found that, similar to 28 hpf embryos, microinjection of PA14 into 50 hpf embryos also elicits a lethal phenotype. However, a higher bacterial dose (>4500 CFU) was required to achieve 100% lethality in embryos infected at 50 hpf (Fig. 1C), suggesting embryos 50 hpf are more immunocompetent than 28 hpf embryos and can mount a more robust host defense.

Expansion of the bacterial cell population

Zebrafish embryo transparency allowed us to monitor the progression of infection using PA14 cells expressing GFP (PA14/GFP) episomally from a strong constitutive promoter (pSMC21, (11)). In the hours immediately following inoculation, GFP fluorescence was undetectable from background autofluorescence throughout the length of the embryo under the magnification offered by a stereomicroscope (Fig. 2A). As infection progressed, the first detectable change observed was a slowing of the embryo circulation and heartbeat, followed by the appearance of GFP fluorescence. At later stages of infection, a few hours prior to death, increasing GFP fluorescence localized to either the area around the eye (Fig. 2B) or the heart and pericardial cavity, with more diffuse GFP

1 fluorescence being detected along the length of the embryo until the time of death (Fig.
2 2B). Embryo death was often preceded by what appeared to be necrotic cell death in the
3 tail (Fig. 2C). Fluorescence persisted after death for several hours. No fluorescence
4 (above background autofluorescence) was observed in embryos infected with heat-killed
5 PA14/GFP (Fig. 2D).

6 To gain further insight into the dynamics of PA14 replication during infection of
7 older zebrafish embryos, we examined the overall health, fluorescence pattern, and
8 bacterial load over time in embryos infected at 50 hpf with PA14/GFP. Embryos were
9 infected with PA14/GFP and monitored at 0, 2, 6, 10, 24, and 48 hpi for health and
10 fluorescence. Eight embryos were sacrificed at each time point, homogenized, and plated
11 to examine the bacterial expansion in embryos over time. The inoculum size determined
12 from enumerating bacteria from 8 embryos sacrificed immediately following
13 microinjection (10,600 CFU) was similar to the inoculum size determined by plating the
14 injection volume directly from the micropipette (10,200 CFU).

15 Similar to embryos infected 28 hpf, the appearance of fluorescence was only
16 noted under a stereomicroscope several hours prior to death and was preceded by a
17 slowing of the embryo heartbeat and a decrease in circulation in the embryo trunk and
18 tail. In order to determine the correlation between the appearance of fluorescence and
19 bacterial load in each embryo, bacteria were enumerated from 4 embryos displaying
20 fluorescence ('bright') and 4 embryos that were not fluorescent ('dim'), at 6 hpi and all
21 subsequent time points; fluorescence was not observed prior to 6 hpi under a
22 stereomicroscope. (Some living, 'dim' embryos were still present at 48 hpi, which is
23 consistent with observed mild variations in time to death, with a mean of 48 hours.) In

1 general, GFP fluorescence correlated with a higher bacterial load, with the highest
2 bacterial loads observed reaching $3-4 \times 10^5$ cells within 24 hpi (Fig. 3). Conversely,
3 embryos scored as 'dim' generally had a lower bacterial burden with a few exceptions.
4 The overlapping bacterial burden among a few 'bright' and 'dim' embryos may have
5 resulted from both the subjective nature of the analysis as well as the limited sensitivity
6 of the stereomicroscope in comparing diffuse fluorescence across an entire organism and
7 intense, localized, fluorescence. In examining the correlation between bacterial burden
8 and fluorescence, there was partial plasmid loss as the bacteria divide in the absence of
9 selection within the embryo, despite the use of a GFP plasmid that is known to be
10 retained relatively stably in the absence of selection *in vitro* (4). This loss ranged from ~
11 26% at 7 hpi to ~ 50% at 25 hpi. However, this phenomenon is unlikely to have grossly
12 affected the outcome of the experiment as the differences in bacterial load between
13 fluorescent and non-fluorescent fish are on the log scale.

14 Interestingly, the majority of embryos scored as 'dim' between 6-48 hpi displayed
15 a decreased bacterial burden than in the original inoculum. There was variability in the
16 bacterial burden among 'dim' embryos, with about half of them displaying an order of
17 magnitude fewer bacterial cells than the initial inoculum size (Fig. 3). This finding
18 suggests that at least some embryos have the ability to initially control infection and clear
19 the majority of invading bacteria. At some point however, this immune control fails,
20 resulting in bacterial expansion and eventually death.

21
22 ***Quorum sensing and T3S are only required for full virulence in 50 hpf embryos***

1 To further characterize *P. aeruginosa* infection in zebrafish embryos in the context of
2 other *P. aeruginosa* infection models, we analyzed whether *P. aeruginosa* mutants that
3 are attenuated in other animal models are also attenuated in zebrafish embryos. We
4 examined the ability of PA14 mutants containing in-frame, clean deletions of genes
5 involved in quorum sensing (*lasR*), quorum sensing and the transcriptional regulation of
6 pyocyanin and hydrogen cyanide production (*mvfR*), and in T3S (*pscD*) to kill embryos
7 infected at 28 and 50 hpf. We found that the survival curves from embryos infected 28
8 hpf with wildtype PA14 or either the isogenic *lasR* or *pscD* mutants were not statistically
9 different from one another (Fig. 4A), indicating that the *lasR* and *pscD* mutants are not
10 attenuated in embryos infected 28 hpf and that these genes are not required for full
11 virulence at this early developmental stage. However, the survival curve from embryos
12 infected with the *mvfR* mutant was statistically different from the curve generated from
13 embryos infected with wildtype PA14 ($p=0.01$). Thus, the *mvfR* mutant is moderately
14 attenuated in embryos infected 28 hpf.

15 We then infected 50 hpf embryos with wild-type PA14 and the same panel of
16 deletion mutants to determine whether *P. aeruginosa*'s full virulence arsenal would be
17 required for infection at a later developmental stage, when embryos might be capable of
18 mounting a more robust immune response. In contrast to infection in earlier stage
19 embryos, the *lasR* and *pscD* mutants were attenuated in the later stage embryos (Fig. 4B
20 and D) and the level of attenuation with the *mvfR* mutant was even more pronounced than
21 in embryos infected 28 hpf, with 60% survival in embryos infected 50 hpf (Fig. 4C)
22 compared to 20% survival in embryos infected 28 hpf with the *mvfR* mutant (Fig. 4A).
23 The survival curves for embryos infected at 50 hpf with the deletion mutants differed

significantly from those infected with the wildtype PA14 strain (the logrank test between PA14 and each mutant was $p < 0.0001$ in each pairwise comparison). All mutant phenotypes could be complemented by reintroduction of the respective, deleted gene (Fig. 4B-D) with the logrank test between each mutant strain and its corresponding complemented strain determined to be $p < 0.05$ in each pairwise comparison. Thus, while *lasR*-mediated quorum sensing and T3S are not required for full virulence in the infection of early-stage embryos, they are required for full virulence during infection of later-stage embryos. Likewise, the *mvfR* gene is also required for full virulence in later-stage embryos but it additionally contributes to some degree to virulence during infection of early-stage embryos. While *mvfR* and *lasR* are both involved in regulating quorum-sensing controlled genes, the genes they positively regulate only partially overlap (13) and *mvfR* has been reported to be a stronger determinant of virulence than *lasR*. Survival has been recorded to be slightly greater for mice infected with *mvfR* mutants than with the *lasR* mutant (9, 38, 49). Thus, it is not entirely surprising that there is a difference in phenotype between the *lasR* and *mvfR* mutant in embryos infected 28hpf even though they are both generally involved in the transcriptional regulation of genes involved in quorum sensing.

The myeloid cell lineage affects susceptibility to lethal infection

Since the susceptibility to infection with various PA14 mutant strains was dependent on embryo age and thus correlated with the development and function of the host immune defense, we sought to characterize the embryonic immune response to PA14 by examining the contribution of embryonic myeloid cells (macrophages and neutrophils) to

1 defense against *P. aeruginosa* infection. Taking advantage of zebrafish embryo
2 transparency, we were able to visually confirm that PA14/GFP bacteria were indeed
3 engulfed by both myeloperoxidase positive-neutrophils and macrophages (Fig. 5).

4 We further examined the contribution of myeloid cells to defense against *P.*
5 *aeruginosa* infection genetically, using morpholino knockdown of transcription factors
6 that regulate myelo- and erythropoiesis. In zebrafish embryos, primitive myeloid and
7 erythroid cells arise from a common myeloid-erythroid progenitor (40), whose fate is
8 determined by the transcription factors Pu.1 and Gata1, which negatively regulate
9 erythroid or myeloid development, respectively (16, 40). Knockdown of Pu.1 shifts
10 progenitor cells to an erythroid cell fate, thus eliminating myeloid cells from the
11 developing embryo and increasing the number of erythroid cells present (40). Gata1
12 inhibition antithetically commits progenitor cells to a myeloid cell fate, thereby
13 effectively increasing the number of myeloid cells available to combat infection and
14 eliminating erythroid cells (16, 40).

15 We first confirmed the elimination and expansion of the myeloid lineage in Pu.1
16 and Gata1 morphants, respectively, by *in situ* hybridization for *L-plastin* expression (Fig.
17 6A). We then found that Pu.1 morphants are exquisitely susceptible to infection with both
18 wild-type PA14 and the *pscD* mutant in 50 hpf embryos, confirming that myeloid cells in
19 the developing embryo are required to combat *P. aeruginosa* infection (Fig. 6B). We then
20 determined whether susceptibility to infection with PA14 or the *pscD* mutant could be
21 altered by increasing the number of myeloid cells by Gata1 knockdown. In later stage
22 embryos (50 hpf), Gata-1 morphants were less susceptible to infection with PA14
23 compared with control embryos (Fig. 6C; logrank test between control and Gata-1

morphants infected with PA14 was $p=0.0002$), suggesting that the additional numbers of myeloid cells (macrophages and neutrophils) present at this stage offered greater protection from the lethality of PA14. Interestingly, there was no difference in the survival of either control or Gata1 morphants infected with the *pscD* mutant, suggesting that there is a mode of death independent of the T3SS that cannot be rescued with greater numbers of myeloid lineage cells.

Cytokine response to infection

Since pro-inflammatory cytokine expression is an integral part of the vertebrate immune response to infection and a clear advantage of modeling *P. aeruginosa* infection in zebrafish rather than in invertebrate hosts, we examined zebrafish pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in response to PA14 infection. We quantified relative transcript levels of the pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF α and IL-1 β by real-time RT-PCR in embryos that were infected either 28 or 50 hpf with either PA14, the *lasR* mutant, heat-killed PA14, or *E. coli* DH5 α cells. Embryos that had been infected at 28 hpf demonstrated similar levels of induction of both TNF α and IL-1 β at 4 hpi regardless of whether they were infected with PA14, the *lasR* mutant, heat-killed PA14, or DH5 α cells (Fig. 7). By 18 hpi however, TNF α and IL-1 β levels in embryos infected with heat-killed PA14 or DH5 α cells had decreased or remained at similar levels compared with levels observed 4 hpi. In contrast, in embryos infected with live PA14, both TNF α and IL-1 β transcript levels had increased significantly over levels observed 18 hpi in embryos infected with either heat-killed or DH5 α cells (TNF α , $p<0.01$; IL-1 β , $p<0.05$; overall data set: TNF α , $p=0.0026$ and IL-1 β , $p=0.0073$) (Fig. 7).

1 The pro-inflammatory cytokine expression pattern observed in embryos infected
2 50 hpf with PA14 was even more striking. While the induction of TNF α at 4 hpi in
3 embryos infected with PA14 was not statistically different from the levels of induction
4 observed with any other strain, the level of TNF α at 18 hpi in embryos infected with
5 PA14 was statistically higher from levels observed from embryos infected with either
6 heat-killed PA14 ($p<0.01$) or DH5 α cells ($p<0.05$) (overall data set, $p=0.0068$) (Fig. 7A).
7 Upregulation of IL1 β expression was even more dramatic, with expression levels
8 significantly greater both at 4 hpi ($p<0.01$; overall data set, $p=0.0058$) and 18 hpi
9 ($p<0.05$; overall data set, $p=0.0024$) compared to levels in embryos infected with either
10 DH5 α or heat-killed PA14 (Fig. 7B).

11 In addition to the difference in cytokine response to PA14 or heat-killed PA14/
12 DH5 α challenge, there was also an interesting difference in cytokine induction between
13 wild-type PA14 and the *lasR* deletion mutant. While there was no statistically significant
14 difference in either TNF α or IL-1 β expression levels at either 4 or 18 hpi in embryos
15 infected 28 hpf with either PA14 or the *lasR* mutant, there were notable differences in
16 these cytokines in embryos infected 50 hpf. TNF α expression at 18 hpi in embryos
17 infected 50 hpf with the *lasR* deletion mutant was significantly lower ($p<0.05$; overall
18 data set, $p=0.0068$) than the level observed after infection with PA14 and more closely
19 mirrored the expression levels observed following infection with either heat-killed PA14
20 or DH5 α cells (Fig. 7A). However, there was no statistically significant difference in
21 IL1 β expression between *lasR* deletion mutant or wildtype PA14-infected embryos
22 inoculated 50 hpf (Fig. 7B). Thus, it would appear that levels of TNF α and not IL-1 β

1 expression late in PA14 infection correlate with death, as the *lasR* mutant is attenuated in
2 embryos infected 50 hpf.

3
4 ***Small molecules are capable of rescuing embryos from lethal infection***

5 One advantage of using zebrafish embryos to model human disease is the ability to
6 conduct chemical screens for small molecules that perturb a given phenotype in a whole
7 organism model (24). With this in mind, we examined whether treatment of infected
8 embryos with known anti-*Pseudomonas* antibiotics could rescue zebrafish embryos from
9 the lethality of PA14 infection. We found that either ciprofloxacin (50 µg/ml) or
10 imipenem (50 µg/ml) could rescue 65-75% of embryos from lethal *P. aeruginosa*
11 infection when embryos were inoculated at 50 hpf (Fig. 8B). In contrast, embryos
12 infected 28 hpf required a cocktail of both imipenem (200 µg/ml) and ciprofloxacin (150
13 µg/ml) to rescue similar embryo numbers (Fig. 8A). It is possible that either the increased
14 immunocompetence of 50 hpf embryos and/ or potentially an increased ability to absorb
15 antibiotics orally later in infection (as the zebrafish larval mouth opens and intestines
16 become motile 72 hpf) accounts for the observation that lower concentrations of single
17 antibiotic can rescue more embryos inoculated 50 hpf than embryos inoculated 28 hpf.
18 Notably, the concentrations of antibiotic required for protection are much higher than the
19 minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of either antibiotic for PA14 in axenic culture
20 (ciprofloxacin, MIC= 0.8 µg/ml; imipenem, MIC=1.6 µg/ml), suggesting that
21 pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic issues of antibiotic distribution in the host are
22 dictating the required concentrations for rescue.

1 Discussion

2 We report that *P. aeruginosa* can establish a lethal infection in zebrafish embryos, thus
 3 establishing a new host model for studying *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis that combines
 4 genetic tractability and vertebrate immunity. The outcome of infection can be influenced
 5 on the pathogen side by both the inoculum size and the presence of known virulence
 6 determinants (*lasR*, *mvfR*, and *pscD*) and on the host side by developmental stage and the
 7 presence of immune cells. The outcome of infection can also be modulated by the
 8 addition of small molecules to the embryo media. Using this model, one can examine the
 9 complex host-pathogen relationship while manipulating the pathogen and/or the host
 10 using classical or chemical genetics.

11 Notably, we find that the host response to infection is dependent upon the
 12 developmental stage of the embryo. We find that more bacterial cells are required to
 13 achieve 100% lethality in embryos inoculated 50 hpf than in embryos inoculated 28 hpf.
 14 While 28 hpf embryos are slightly smaller (~2.5mm) in length than 50 hpf embryos
 15 (~3.1mm), the difference in body mass between 28 and 50 hpf embryos is relatively small
 16 and unlikely account for the difference in *P. aeruginosa* lethal dose between these two
 17 developmental stages. If the toxicity of *P. aeruginosa* at 28 and 50 hpf was solely related
 18 to body mass, one would expect the pattern of susceptibility to infection with the *lasR*,
 19 *pscD*, and *mvfR* mutant strains examined to be the same between 28 and 50 hpf embryos.
 20 Instead, we find that embryos inoculated 28 hpf are equally susceptible to infection with
 21 the either the wildtype PA14 strain or the *lasR* and *pscD* mutant strains unlike embryos
 22 inoculated 50 hpf. The difference in susceptibility to mutant strains suggests that *P.*
 23 *aeruginosa* requires its full-virulence arsenal in 50 hpf embryos in order to create a niche

1 where is can survive and divide. In contrast, *P. aeruginosa*'s full virulence arsenal is not
2 required to create a niche where it can survive and divide within 28 hpf embryos,
3 suggesting that embryos 28 hpf are less immunocompetent than embryos 50 hpf to
4 combat *P. aeruginosa* challenge.

5 The development of host immunity is a complex process that requires a series of
6 coordinated events, including functional differentiation of immune cells, expansion of
7 immune cell populations, and the expression of other immune functions such as
8 complement proteins. Formally, any one or a combination of these possibilities may
9 account for the difference in immunocompetence between 28 and 50 hpf embryos.
10 Clearly, the presence of myeloid lineage cells is an important step for embryo survival
11 following infection, as evidenced by the rapid death of Pu.1 morphants. Moreover, the
12 expansion of the myeloid population renders them less susceptible to infection as
13 evidenced by the Gata1 morphants, suggesting that the decreased susceptibility of the
14 later embryos could be due to an increase in myeloid cell numbers. However, the
15 difference in phenotype between the two stages of embryos can also be accounted for by
16 differences in functional maturity of the myeloid cells present as embryos infected 28 hpf
17 initially do not have functional neutrophils. Clearly, a more detailed understanding of the
18 timing and development of immunologic competence in zebrafish is required before one
19 could attribute a given immunologic function to the difference in phenotype between
20 these two different embryonic stages.

21 Both macrophages and neutrophils, that we observed to engulf *P. aeruginosa*, are
22 likely to be important in combating *P. aeruginosa* infection in embryos, as they are in
23 human infection. However, the individual contributions of each cell type in controlling *P.*

1 *aeruginosa* infection in zebrafish embryos cannot currently be determined genetically, as
2 there is no known gene that one could specifically target that would disrupt either
3 macrophage or neutrophil differentiation. While recent work suggests that zebrafish
4 primitive macrophages are able to phagocytose microbes to a far greater extent than
5 primitive neutrophils (20), the individual contribution of macrophages and neutrophils to
6 defense against *P. aeruginosa* infection awaits further study.

7 While embryos inoculated 50 hpf are more capable of successfully mounting a
8 defense against infection with *P. aeruginosa* strains mutated in different virulence
9 mechanisms, they still succumb to infection with wild-type PA14 even though pro-
10 inflammatory cytokine expression both early and late in infection is robust. It is possible
11 that the consistently high levels of TNF α observed during PA14 infection may be
12 detrimental to embryonic survival considering TNF α 's known effects on vascular
13 permeability in mammals. Intraperitoneal injection of high doses of LPS alone in
14 mammals are known to result in high levels of expression of TNF α that can result in
15 dramatic increases in vascular permeability and death (51). While fish are thought to be
16 more resistant to LPS toxicity than rodents or calves (2), zebrafish larvae are clearly
17 sensitive to immersion in high concentrations of LPS and display pathophysiologic
18 features characteristic of LPS intoxication similar to mammals (1). Here, the introduction
19 of LPS in the form of either heat-killed PA14 or DH5 α cells into the zebrafish embryo
20 bloodstream does not result in high levels of TNF α transcripts at 18 hpi and is
21 insufficient to result in lethality. Introduction of intact PA14 bacteria on the other hand
22 does result in high levels of TNF α late in infection and this observation is correlated with

1 death. Whether high levels of TNF α induction in PA14-infection contribute to embryonic
2 lethality or are simply a marker of PA14 infection awaits further study.

3 4 **Comparison to other models**

5 The virulence determinants required for infection in zebrafish embryos 50 hpf are more
6 similar to those required in rodent models of acute *P. aeruginosa* infection (9, 18, 32, 42,
7 46, 49), than to invertebrate models like *C. elegans*. The quorum sensing mutants *lasR*
8 and *myfR* are attenuated in zebrafish and the mouse burn model to approximately the
9 same degree, with ~50% survival for *lasR* and *myfR* mutants in both hosts (38, 49). Here
10 we also find that deletion of *pscD* attenuates infection in 50 hpf embryos and thus, T3S is
11 required for full virulence in zebrafish, similar to burn, neutropenic, and acute pneumonia
12 murine infection models (18, 46, 53) and unlike infection in *C. elegans*, where *pscD* is
13 fully dispensable for infection (27). Since intravenous inoculation of zebrafish embryos
14 elicits an acute, bacteremic infection that most closely resembles the mouse burn model
15 of *P. aeruginosa* infection, based on the levels of attenuation of the mutants examined,
16 this model will perhaps be more useful in modeling the systemic *P. aeruginosa* infections
17 that occur in burned and immunocompromised patients than in chronically infected
18 patients where mutations in *lasR* and defects in T3S have been noted to appear during the
19 course of persistent infection (21, 45).

20 21 **Advantageous features of a *P. aeruginosa* infection model in zebrafish**

22 One advantage of this model is the ease with which various pathogen components can be
23 analyzed in the context of varying host components, such as examining different *P.*

1 *aeruginosa* mutants infected at different developmental stages or examining infection
2 while altering immune cell numbers using morpholinos to shift myeloid and erythroid
3 cell populations. Another advantage, unlike most model host organisms, is that zebrafish
4 are amenable to chemical genetics as well as classical genetics (24). Here we find that the
5 outcome of infection can also be modulated by the addition of small molecules to the
6 embryo media, thus this model can also be used to probe *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis in
7 the intact host using chemical genetics. We show that forward chemical genetic screens
8 are feasible in this infection model by rescuing infected embryos with small molecules
9 added to the surrounding water. Lower concentrations of antibiotics are sufficient to
10 rescue embryos infected at 50 hpf than at 28 hpf from death. While we do not know the
11 mechanisms for attaining adequate tissue and bloodstream concentrations, passive
12 diffusion of the antibiotics likely occurs and accounts for the success of other reported
13 chemical genetic screens in zebrafish embryos (33, 47).

14 Chemical screening of whole-organism infection models is an attractive approach
15 for identifying next-generation antimicrobials, particularly given the current climate
16 where antibiotic resistance is outpacing antibiotic discovery and development. Our
17 current antibiotic stockpile is largely composed of variations of compounds discovered
18 ~40-60 years ago for their ability to kill or inhibit the growth of logarithmically growing
19 bacterial cells *in vitro*. Since that time, with the exceptions of the narrow spectrum drugs
20 daptomycin and linezolid, no new classes of clinically relevant antibiotics have been
21 discovered. More recent efforts using target-based approaches to identify inhibitors of
22 gene products thought to be essential for bacterial viability have been largely
23 unsuccessful (31). Unlike target-based assays, however, whole-organism screening has

1 the potential to directly identify compounds that are effective at eliciting the desired
2 phenotype (like attenuation of infection) and has the potential to leap-frog over some of
3 the major hurdles associated with drug development in that whole organism screening
4 inherently selects for compounds that are permeable to the cell, have little to no gross
5 toxic side effects, and have acceptable pharmacokinetic profiles (at least in the model
6 host) (24). While examples of whole organism screening for anti-infectives in rodent
7 models are extremely rare due to space, cost, and even ethical considerations, they have
8 historically resulted in the successful discovery of drugs like ivermectin, an anti-parasitic
9 therapeutic (7). Recent efforts to conduct whole organism screening of invertebrate
10 infection models have overcome many of the drawbacks associated with screening rodent
11 infection models and have been successfully used to identify compounds effective at
12 attenuating *Enterococcus fecalis* and *Candida albicans* infection in *C. elegans* (6, 28).
13 The drawback to screening whole-organism invertebrate infection models, of course, is
14 the relative dissimilarity between the invertebrate and mammalian immune response to
15 infection. Since zebrafish are vertebrates with an immune system similar to mammals,
16 whole organism screening of zebrafish infection models may be more effective at
17 identifying compounds useful in treating human infections.

18 We have demonstrated that zebrafish represent an effective new model for
19 examining *P. aeruginosa* pathogenesis that has many advantages, including ease of
20 manipulating the immune response in the setting of optical transparency. While
21 visualizing and manipulating the immune response in rodent models are technically
22 feasible using intravital microscopy and genetic tools, such studies will be technically
23 easier, faster, and cheaper in zebrafish. Thus, zebrafish, as a model host, may provide a

1 unique forum in which to conduct comprehensive studies of pathogen mutants in the
2 context of variations in host immunity and explore individual contributions of
3 macrophage and neutrophil lineages to host defense. Given the ability to conduct both
4 classical and chemical genetic studies, the ability to manipulate host immunity, and the
5 ability to examine the infection as it progresses in the living organism, the zebrafish
6 infection model is a useful complement to and combines the strengths of existing models
7 of *P. aeruginosa* infection.

8

9 **Acknowledgements**

10 We thank F. Ausubel, S. Lory, and L. Rahme for strains and plasmids. We also thank L.
11 Ramakrishnan, J. Rawls, and R. Peterson and his lab for helpful discussions, R. Smith
12 and E. Drenkard for critical reading of this manuscript, and N. Chand and A. Kuchena for
13 technical assistance. This work was funded by grants from the Broad Institute and Pew
14 Scholars in Biomedical Sciences Program.

References

1. **Bates, J. M., J. Akerlund, E. Mittge, and K. Guillemin.** 2007. Intestinal alkaline phosphatase detoxifies lipopolysaccharide and prevents inflammation in zebrafish in response to gut microbiota. *Cell Host Microbe* **2**:371-382.
2. **Berczi, I., L. Bertok, and T. Bereznai.** 1966. Comparative studies on the toxicity of *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide endotoxin in various animal species. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **12**:1070-1071.
3. **Berman, J. N., J. P. Kanki, and A. T. Look.** 2005. Zebrafish as a model for myelopoiesis during embryogenesis. *Exp. Hematol.* **33**:997-1006.
4. **Bloemberg, G. V., G. A. O'Toole, B. J. Lugtenberg, and R. Kolter.** 1997. Green fluorescent protein as a marker for *Pseudomonas* spp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **63**:4543-4551.
5. **Boshra, H., J. Li, and J. O. Sunyer.** 2006. Recent advances on the complement system of teleost fish. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **20**:239-262.
6. **Breger, J., B. B. Fuchs, G. Aperis, T. I. Moy, F. M. Ausubel, and E. Mylonakis.** 2007. Antifungal chemical compounds indentified using a *C. elegans* pathogenicity assay. *PLoS Path.* **3**:168-178.
7. **Campbell, W. C.** 2005. Serendipity and new drugs for infectious disease. *ILAR J.* **46**:352-356.
8. **Cao, H., R. L. Baldini, and L. G. Rahme.** 2001. Common mechanisms for pathogens of plants and animals. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **39**:259-284.

- 1 9. **Cao, H., G. Krishnan, B. Goumnerov, J. Tsongalis, R. Tompkins, and L. G.**
2 **Rahme.** 2001. A quorum sensing-associated virulence gene of *Pseudomonas*
3 *aeruginosa* encodes a LysR-like transcription regulator with a unique self-
4 regulatory mechanism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **98**:14613-14618.
- 5 10. **Clay, H., J. M. Davis, D. Beery, A. Huttenlocher, S. E. Lyons, and L.**
6 **Ramakrishnan.** 2007. Dichotomous role of the macrophage in early
7 *Mycobacterium marinum* infection of the zebrafish. Cell Host Microbe **2**:29-39.
- 8 11. **Cowan, S. E., E. Gilbert, A. Khlebnikov, and J. D. Keasling.** 2000. Dual
9 labeling with green fluorescent proteins for confocal microscopy. Appl. Environ.
10 Microbiol. **66**:413-418.
- 11 12. **Davis, J. M., H. Clay, J. L. Lewis, N. Ghorri, P. Herbomel, and L.**
12 **Ramakrishnan.** 2002. Real-time visualization of mycobacterium-macrophage
13 interactions leading to initiation of granuloma formation in zebrafish embryos.
14 Immunity **17**:693-702.
- 15 13. **Deziel, E., S. Gopalan, A. P. Tampakaki, F. Lepine, K. E. Padfield, M.**
16 **Saucier, G. Xiao, and L. G. Rahme.** 2005. The contribution of MvfR to
17 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis and quorum sensing circuitry regulation:
18 multiple quorum sensing-regulated genes are modulated without affecting *lasRI*,
19 *rhlRI* or the production of *N*-acyl-L-homoserine lactones. Mol. Microbiol.
20 **55**:998-1014.
- 21 14. **Esengil, H., V. Chang, J. K. Mich, and J. K. Chen.** 2007. Small molecule
22 regulation of zebrafish gene expression. Nat. Chem. Biol. **3**:154-155.

- 1 15. **Fauvarque, M. O., E. Bergeret, J. Chabert, D. Dacheux, M. Satre, and I.**
2 **Attree.** 2002. Role and activation of type III secretion system genes in
3 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-induced *Drosophila* killing. *Microb Pathog* **32**:287-295.
- 4 16. **Galloway, J. L., R. A. Wingert, C. Thisse, B. Thisse, and L. I. Zon.** 2005. Loss
5 of *gata1* but not *gata2* converts erythropoiesis to myelopoiesis in zebrafish
6 embryos. *Dev Cell* **8**:109-116.
- 7 17. **Herbomel, P., B. Thisse, and C. Thisse.** 1999. Ontogeny and behavior of early
8 macrophages in the zebrafish embryo. *Development* **126**:3735-3745.
- 9 18. **Holder, I. A., A. N. Neely, and D. W. Frank.** 2001. Type III
10 secretion/intoxication system important in virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
11 infections in burns. *Burns* **27**:129-130.
- 12 19. **Kimmel, C. B., W. W. Ballard, S. R. Kimmel, B. Ullmann, and T. F.**
13 **Schilling.** 1995. Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. *Dev. Dyn.*
14 **203**:253-310.
- 15 20. **Le Guyader, D., M. J. Redd, E. Colucci-Guyon, E. Murayama, K. Kissa, V.**
16 **Briolat, E. Mordelet, A. Zapata, H. Shinomiya, and P. Herbomel.** 2008.
17 Origins and unconventional behavior of neutrophils in developing zebrafish.
18 *Blood* **111**:132-141.
- 19 21. **Lee, V. T., R. S. Smith, B. Tummler, and S. Lory.** 2005. Activities of
20 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* effectors secreted by the Type III secretion system *in*
21 *vitro* and during infection. *Infect. Immun.* **73**:1695-1705.

- 1 22. **Lieschke, G. J., A. C. Oates, M. O. Crowhurst, A. C. Ward, and J. E. Layton.**
2 2001. Morphologic and functional characterization of granulocytes and
3 macrophages in embryonic and adult zebrafish. *Blood* **98**:3087-3096.
- 4 23. **Lin, B., S. Chen, Z. Cao, Y. Lin, D. Mo, H. Zhang, J. Gu, M. Dong, Z. Liu,**
5 **and A. Xu.** 2007. Acute phase response in zebrafish upon *Aeromonas*
6 *salmonicida* and *Staphylococcus aureus* infection: striking similarities and
7 obvious differences with mammals. *Mol Immunol* **44**:295-301.
- 8 24. **MacRae, C. A., and R. T. Peterson.** 2003. Zebrafish-based small molecule
9 discovery. *Chem. Biol.* **10**:901-908.
- 10 25. **Mahajan-Miklos, S., L. G. Rahme, and F. M. Ausubel.** 2000. Elucidating the
11 molecular mechanisms of bacterial virulence using non-mammalian hosts. *Mol*
12 *Microbiol* **37**:981-988.
- 13 26. **Meijer, A. H., S. F. Gabby Krens, I. A. Medina Rodriguez, S. He, W. Bitter,**
14 **B. Ewa Snaar-Jagalska, and H. P. Spaink.** 2004. Expression analysis of the
15 Toll-like receptor and TIR domain adaptor families of zebrafish. *Mol Immunol*
16 **40**:773-783.
- 17 27. **Miyata, S., M. Casey, D. W. Frank, F. M. Ausubel, and E. Drenkard.** 2003.
18 Use of the *Galleria mellonella* caterpillar as a model host to study the role of the
19 type III secretion system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenesis. *Infect Immun*
20 **71**:2404-2413.
- 21 28. **Moy, T. I., A. R. Ball, Z. Anklesaria, G. Casadei, K. Lewis, and F. M.**
22 **Ausubel.** 2006. Identification of novel antimicrobials using a live-animal
23 infection model. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**:10414-10419.

- 1 29. **Neely, M. N., J. D. Pfeifer, and M. Caparon.** 2002. Streptococcus-zebrafish
2 model of bacterial pathogenesis. Infect. Immun. **70**:3904-3914.
- 3 30. **Nusslein-Volhard, C., and R. Dahm.** 2002. Zebrafish: a practical approach.
4 Oxford University Press, New York.
- 5 31. **Payne, D. J., M. N. Gwynn, D. J. Holmes, and D. L. Pompliano.** 2007. Drugs
6 for bad bugs: confronting the challenges of antibacterial discovery. Nat. Rev.
7 Drug Discov. **6**:29-40.
- 8 32. **Pearson, J. P., M. Feldman, B. H. Iglewski, and A. Prince.** 2000. *Pseudomonas*
9 *aeruginosa* cell-to-cell signaling is required for virulence in a model of acute
10 pulmonary infection. Infect Immun **68**:4331-4334.
- 11 33. **Peterson, R. T., S. Y. Shaw, T. A. Peterson, D. J. Milan, T. P. Zhong, S. L.**
12 **Schreiber, C. A. MacRae, and M. C. Fishman.** 2004. Chemical suppression of a
13 genetic mutation in a zebrafish model of aortic coarctation Nat. Biotechnol.
14 **22**:595-599.
- 15 34. **Prajsnar, T. K., V. T. Cunliffe, S. J. Foster, and S. A. Renshaw.** 2008. A novel
16 vertebrate model of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection reveals phagocyte-dependent
17 resistance of zebrafish to non-host specialized pathogens. Cell. Microbiol. **doi:**
18 **10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01213x.**
- 19 35. **Pressley, M. E., P. E. Phelan, 3rd, P. E. Witten, M. T. Mellon, and C. H. Kim.**
20 2005. Pathogenesis and inflammatory response to *Edwardsiella tarda* infection in
21 the zebrafish. Dev. Comp. Immunol. **29**:501-513.
- 22 36. **Pukatzki, S., R. H. Kessin, and J. J. Mekalanos.** 2002. The human pathogen
23 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* utilizes conserved virulence pathways to infect the

- 1 social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **99**:3159-
2 3164.
- 3 37. **Rahme, L. G., F. M. Ausubel, H. Cao, E. Drenkard, B. C. Goumnerov, G. W.**
4 **Lau, S. Mahajan-Miklos, J. Plotnikova, M. W. Tan, J. Tsongalis, C. L.**
5 **Walendziewicz, and R. G. Tompkins.** 2000. Plants and animals share
6 functionally common bacterial virulence factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.
7 **97**:8815-8821.
- 8 38. **Rahme, L. G., M. W. Tan, L. Le, S. M. Wong, R. G. Tompkins, S. B.**
9 **Calderwood, and F. M. Ausubel.** 1997. Use of model plant hosts to identify
10 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
11 **94**:13245-13250.
- 12 39. **Rawls, J. F., M. A. Mahowald, A. L. Goodman, C. M. Trent, and J. I.**
13 **Gordon.** 2007. *In vivo* imaging and genetic analysis link bacterial motility and
14 symbiosis in the zebrafish gut. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **104**:7622-7627.
- 15 40. **Rhodes, J., A. Hagen, K. Hsu, M. Deng, T. X. Liu, A. T. Look, and J. P.**
16 **Kanki.** 2005. Interplay of pu.1 and gata1 determines myelo-erythroid progenitor
17 cell fate in zebrafish. Dev Cell **8**:97-108.
- 18 41. **Rozen, S., and H. J. Skaletsky.** 2000. Primer3 on the WWW for general users
19 and for biologist programmers, p. 365-386. *In* S. Krawetz and S. Misener (ed.),
20 *Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology*. Humana
21 Press, Totowa, NJ.

- 1 42. **Rumbaugh, K. P., J. A. Griswold, B. H. Iglewski, and A. N. Hamood.** 1999.
2 Contribution of quorum sensing to the virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in
3 burn wound infections. *Infect Immun* **67**:5854-5862.
- 4 43. **Schweizer, H. P.** 1991. *Escherichia-Pseudomonas* shuttle vectors derived from
5 pUC18/19. *Gene* **97**:109-112.
- 6 44. **Shestopalov, I. A., S. Sinha, and J. K. Chen.** 2007. Light controlled gene
7 silencing in zebrafish embryos. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **3**:650-651.
- 8 45. **Smith, E. E., D. G. Buckley, Z. Wu, C. Saenphimmachak, L. R. Hoffman, D.**
9 **A. D'Argenio, S. I. Miller, B. W. Ramsey, D. P. Speert, S. M. Moskowitz, J.**
10 **L. Burns, R. Kaul, and M. V. Olson.** 2006. Genetic adaptation by *Pseudomonas*
11 *aeruginosa* to the airways of cystic fibrosis patients. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*
12 **103**:8487-8492.
- 13 46. **Smith, R. S., M. C. Wolfgang, and S. Lory.** 2004. An adenylate cyclase-
14 controlled signaling network regulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence in a
15 mouse model of acute pneumonia. *Infect Immun* **72**:1677-1684.
- 16 47. **Stern, H. M., R. D. Murphey, J. L. Shepard, J. F. Amatruda, C. T. Straub, K.**
17 **L. Pfaff, G. Weber, J. A. Tallarico, R. W. King, and L. I. Zon.** 2005. Small
18 molecules that delay S phase suppress a zebrafish *bmyb* mutant. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*
19 **1**:366-370.
- 20 48. **Tan, M. W., S. Mahajan-Miklos, and F. M. Ausubel.** 1999. Killing of
21 *Caenorhabditis elegans* by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* used to model mammalian
22 bacterial pathogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**:715-720.

- 1 49. **Tan, M. W., L. G. Rahme, J. A. Sternberg, R. G. Tompkins, and F. M.**
2 **Ausubel.** 1999. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* killing of *Caenorhabditis elegans* used
3 to identify *P. aeruginosa* virulence factors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
4 **96:**2408-2413.
- 5 50. **Trede, N. S., D. M. Langenau, D. Traver, A. T. Look, and L. I. Zon.** 2004.
6 The use of zebrafish to understand immunity. Immunity **20:**367-379.
- 7 51. **Ulloa, L., and K. J. Tracey.** 2005. The 'cytokine profile': a code for sepsis.
8 TRENDS in Mol. Med. **11:**56-63.
- 9 52. **van der Sar, A. M., R. J. Musters, F. J. van Eeden, B. J. Appelmelk, C. M.**
10 **Vandenbroucke-Grauls, and W. Bitter.** 2003. Zebrafish embryos as a model
11 host for the real time analysis of *Salmonella typhimurium* infections. Cell.
12 Microbiol. **5:**601-611.
- 13 53. **Vance, R. E., A. Rietsch, and J. J. Mekalanos.** 2005. Role of the type III
14 secreted exoenzymes S, T, and Y in systemic spread of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
15 PAO1 *in vivo*. Infect Immun **73:**1706-1713.
- 16 54. **Yahr, T. L., and M. C. Wolfgang.** 2006. Transcriptional regulation of the
17 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type III secretion system. Mol. Microbiol. **62:**631-640.
18
19

Figure Legends

Fig. 1. *P. aeruginosa* infection in zebrafish embryos. A) Landmarks in the 28 hpf embryo; arrows indicate the direction of blood flow in the yolk circulation valley. B) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 28 hpf embryos with varying doses of PA14, heat-killed PA14, or *E. coli* DH5 α ; CFU, colony forming unit; HPI, hours post infection. Embryos were monitored for survival at 17 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter. The data are representative of 3 replicates with 30 embryos per condition per replicate. C) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 50 hpf embryos with varying doses of PA14 or heat-killed PA14. Embryos were monitored for survival at 20 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter. The data are representative of 3 replicates with 30 embryos per condition per replicate.

Fig. 2. Visualization of *P. aeruginosa* PA14/GFP infection over time. Embryos staged 28 hpf were infected with PA14/GFP (~1,800 CFU) or heat-killed bacteria and imaged over time (all scale bars, 200 μ m). A) Fluorescent image of an embryo infected with PA14/GFP at 4 hpi. B) Fluorescent image of the embryo in panel A at 22.5 hpi. C) Bright-field image of the embryo from panel A immediately after death, 24 hpi. D) Fluorescent (D) and brightfield (E) images of an embryo infected with heat-killed PA14/GFP at 22.5 hpi.

Fig. 3. Expansion of *P. aeruginosa* in embryos over time. Bacterial enumeration from embryos infected 50 hpf with PA14/GFP (inoculum size ~10,200 CFU; each point

represents CFU recovered from an individual embryo). From 6-48 hpi, each embryo was also scored for the presence of fluorescence (fluorescent (■), non-fluorescent (□)).

Fig. 4. Susceptibility to quorum sensing and T3S mutants is dependent on embryo developmental stage. A) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 28 hpf embryos with PA14 (~2300 CFU; N=30), PA14Δ*pscD* (~1800 CFU; N=30), PA14Δ*mvfR* (~2400 CFU; N=30), PA14Δ*lasR* (~1800 CFU; N=30), or heat-killed PA14 (N=11). Embryos were monitored for survival at 17 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter; the data are representative of 3 replicates. B) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 50 hpf embryos with PA14 (~8,000 CFU; N=32), PA14Δ*lasR* (~7,800 CFU; N=30), the PA14Δ*lasR* complemented strain (~6100 CFU; N=20), or heat-killed PA14 (N=12). C) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 50 hpf embryos with PA14 (~6,300 CFU; N=30), PA14Δ*mvfR* (~5,900 CFU; N=30), the PA14Δ*mvfR* complemented strain (~8,100 CFU; N=20), or heat-killed PA14 (N=10). D) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 50 hpf embryos with PA14 (~5,000 CFU; N=30), PA14Δ*pscD* (~5,500 CFU; N=30), the PA14Δ*pscD* complemented strain (~6,300 CFU; N=30), or heat-killed PA14 (N=5). Embryos in panels B-D were monitored for survival at 20 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter; the data are representative of 3 replicates.

Fig. 5. *P. aeruginosa* colocalizes with myeloid cells. A-D) DIC (A), Cy3-TSA (B), GFP-fluorescent (C), and merged (D) images of a neutrophil from an embryo inoculated 50 hpf with ~4300 PA14/GFP bacterial cells that was fixed 1 hpi and stained for

myeloperoxidase activity with Cy3-TSA. E-G) DIC (E), GFP-fluorescent (F), and merged (G) images of a macrophage obtained from a living embryo inoculated 50 hpf with ~8500 CFU PA14/GFP captured 3.75 hpi. All scale bars, 5 μ m.

Fig 6. Myeloid lineage cells control *P. aeruginosa* infection. A) *In situ* hybridization for *L-plastin* expression, a marker for myeloid cells (20) in 24 hpf wildtype (top panel), *Pu.1* (middle panel) and *Gata1* (bottom panel) morphants. B) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following inoculation of 50 hpf *PU.1* (PA14, ~5500 CFU; Δ *pscD*, ~6000 CFU) or control morphants (PA14, ~7000 CFU; Δ *pscD*, ~5000 CFU). C) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following inoculation of 50 hpf *GATA1* (PA14, ~6000 CFU; Δ *pscD*, ~5900 CFU) or control morphants (PA14, ~6700 CFU; Δ *pscD*, ~4500 CFU). The data are representative of 3 replicates with 30 embryos per condition per replicate.

Fig 7. Pro-inflammatory cytokine expression following *P. aeruginosa* infection. Relative TNF α (A) and IL-1 β (B) transcript levels 4 and 18 hpi determined by qRT-PCR analysis in embryos that were infected either 28 hpf with ~2200 CFU or 50 hpf with ~7000 CFU of either PA14, *AlasR*, heat-killed PA14, or DH5 α cells. Fold changes in cytokine expression were determined relative to PBS-sham infected embryos. Data represent the mean and SEM of at least 3 biologic replicates.

Fig. 8. Antibiotics rescue embryos from lethal infection. A) Kaplan-Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 28 hpf embryos with PA14 and subsequent immersion in embryo medium containing either ciprofloxacin (150 μ g/ml; ~6500 CFU),

1 imipenem (200µg/ml; ~6000 CFU), a combination of both antibiotics (150µg/ml
 2 ciprofloxacin; 200µg/ml imipenem; ~6600 CFU), or no antibiotic (~6700 CFU). Embryos
 3 were monitored for survival at 17 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter. The data are
 4 representative of 3 replicates with 30 embryos per condition per replicate. B) Kaplan-
 5 Meier embryo survival curves following infection of 50 hpf embryos with PA14 and
 6 subsequent immersion in embryo medium containing ciprofloxacin (50µg/ml; ~8400
 7 CFU), imipenem (50µg/ml; ~8500 CFU), or no antibiotic (~8200 CFU). Embryos were
 8 monitored for survival at 19 hpi and at regular intervals thereafter. The data are
 9 representative of 3 replicates with 30 embryos per condition per replicate.

10

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6





Fig. 7





Fig. 8

